UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,874	11/13/2003	Tian-Li Wang	001107.00391	8148
22907 7590 08/06/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.		EXAMINER		
1100 13th STR	•		MITCHELL, LAURA MCGILLEM	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/705,874	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura M. Mitchell	1636	

,	Laura M. Mitchell	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co)TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(770) 444
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, 	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 87-90.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 6-9,14,16,17,19,21-23,39,43-46,51,			
 Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-5, 10-13, 15, 18, 20, 24, 37-38, 40 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	1-42, 47-50, 52, 56, 58-86, 88 and	<u>91</u> .	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will no	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Souther: See Continuation Sheet.	(
· ·		•	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Once a final rejection that is not premature has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. For reasons given above, the amended claims have not been entered. The amendments do not place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicant is invited to review MPEP 714.12.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration. Specifically, the amendments to claims 1 and 43 include the limitation that the window of sequence tags spans "about 40 kb". Claims 7-9 are dependent on claim 1. Claims 44-46 are dependent on claim 43. Claims 7 and 44 recite the limitation that the window spans about 200kb, claims 8 and 45 recite the limitation that the window spans about 600kb, and claims 9 and 46 recite the limitation that the window spans about 4 Mb. Since independent claims 1 and 43 already limit the window to "about 40 kb", it is not clear how the window can be 200kb, or 600 kb or 4 Mb. Rather than further limiting the size of the window of sequence tags, the dependent claims recite limitations that require that the window is larger. Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura McGillem Mitchell, PhD Examiner 7/27/2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER